Analysis of a Linear Induction Motor with Solid Iron Secondary
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ABSTRACT

Linear induction motors (LIMs) are widely employed in rail transportation systems due to their robust, simple and low cost structure. Several methods have evaluated various topologies' performances in the literature. These methods are more and less effective in the intended structures. In this paper, a new two-dimensional analytical method is presented in order to predict developed thrust force of a single-sided linear induction motor with a solid iron secondary. The skin and saturation effects of the induced eddy currents in the solid iron of the secondary are considered in the proposed method. The analytical results are then compared with the 2D finite element simulation and the experimental ones of the research work of Gieras et al. Results confirm the accuracy of the proposed analytical and finite element methods for the analysis and design of linear induction motors with solid iron secondary.

1. INTRODUCTION

The linear motors are widely employed in various industrial applications including transportation [1-5], compressors [6], automation [7, 8] and electromagnetic launch systems [9, 10]. Linear Induction Motor (LIM) in its both double-sided and single-sided topologies is a suitable candidate for propulsion of train due to their simple structure [1, 11]. An analysis of a double-sided LIM is presented in [4] that is employed for urban air-bus transit system. But single-sided LIMs are more suitable for transportation because of their simpler structure [12-14]. In addition, due to the long secondary and short primary of single-sided LIMs, adjustment of air-gap is easier in transportation system. The primary winding of the Single Sided LIM (SSLIM) is composed of conventional distributed winding with half-filled end slots (Fig. 1). SSLIMs, secondary could be double-layer with a solid back-iron layer and another thin aluminum sheet or just a single-layer with solid iron [15]. Also, implementation of single-layer solid iron secondary SSLIM is easier for transportation purposes than a double-layer secondary (Fig. 2). The magnetizing current of SSLIMs with solid-iron secondary is low due to the smaller magnetic air-gap. The thrust force versus speed curve of solid-iron secondary LIMs is close to a flat shape, which could provide a suitable acceleration thrust force [16, 17].

Employment of a suitable analysis method for the design and optimization of LIMs with solid-iron secondary is essential, especially for large ones employed in transportation applications. In this regard, the skin and saturation effects in solid-iron of secondary should be considered that significantly affect motor performance [18]. Numerical methods like finite difference or finite element are almost precise methods for analysis of induced eddy currents in the solid iron but, they are time-consuming for design process of large linear induction motors [19-21]. Analytical methods by consideration of skin and saturation effects of solid iron secondary of SSLIM
could be faster than the finite element analysis [15, 22]. Several analytical methods were presented for eddy current analysis in the saturated solid iron for pulsating waves and traveling waves. The first analytical method modeled the iron saturation using a step-function method called limiting theory [23]. The results of limiting theory method for eddy current modeling in saturated solid iron were used for modeling solid-iron rotors or secondary of induction motors, which is related to traveling wave [17]. The limiting theory method was an approximate analytical method based on experimental results, which is not generally accurate enough. The other employed analytical method was a multilayer method for analysis of the induced eddy currents in saturated solid irons and steels [24]. Although the multilayer method could be used for pulsating waves and traveling waves, motor modeling presented by this method is not simple. It is also more complicated than the limiting theory method. The third method employed in saturated solid iron is based on modeling the B-H curve of solid iron using a parabolic function [25-28]. This method provides a complete solution of the induced eddy currents in saturated solid irons and steels. This method obtains the equivalent permeability of solid iron, and traveling waves in solid-rotor induction motors are directly calculated without consideration of air-gap [26]. The secondary loss and efficiency of a SSLIM is calculated in [29] based on 3D space harmonic method for composite secondary, whereas in the case of solid back iron, the saturation effect is not considered.

In this paper, parabolic function for the B-H curve of solid irons and steels is employed for analysis of traveling-wave eddy currents in the solid-iron secondary of SSLIM, in which air-gap is directly considered. The analytical calculations are compared with the experimental (obtained from [16]) and finite element results of a large SSLIM with solid-iron secondary. The analytical calculation results of a large SSLIM with solid iron are considered for SSLIM design to evaluate its effects on the motor performance. Therefore, solid iron secondary SSLIM design is presented in section 2. Then, its FEM model is described in section 3. The SSLIM analytical model is described in section 4. The simulation results of both FEM and analytical model is analyzed and compared with experimental ones of [16] in section 5. Finally, section 6 concludes this research.

2. SSLIM DESIGN

In order to evaluate the proposed model, a solid iron SSLIM, that its experimental results are available, is considered. Design parameters of the considered SSLIM is shown in Table 1 [16]. The one-pole pitch model of the intended motor with solid-iron secondary is shown in Fig. 3.

![Figure 2: Rail transportation system with SSLIM.](image)

**Table 1**
The Parameters and dimensions of a single-sided LIM with double layer winding and half-filled end slots [16]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of phases, ( m )</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pole pair, ( p )</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole pitch, ( \rho )</td>
<td>0.25 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winding pitch, ( W_s )</td>
<td>0.194 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary width, ( L )</td>
<td>0.101 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary width, ( W )</td>
<td>0.178 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical air-gap, ( g )</td>
<td>12 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot width, ( w_s )</td>
<td>15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot height, ( h_s )</td>
<td>30 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary yoke height, ( h_y )</td>
<td>71.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary height, ( h_{ys} )</td>
<td>25.4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total slots number, ( Q_t )</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of slots per pole per phase, ( q )</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-filled end slots</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The conductivity of the secondary, ( \sigma_i )</td>
<td>4.46×10^6 S/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turns per phase, ( N_i )</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated primary input current, ( I )</td>
<td>200 A (rms)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The magnetic saturation in the solid irons as the secondary of SSLIM generates harmonics in magnetic variables, which is not considered in the previously
presented analytical methods. Therefore, consideration of Magnetic field intensities and magnetic flux densities as sinusoidal functions of time is not correct in the solid iron SSLIM performance modeling. In order to consider this effect, the DC B-H curve of solid iron is modified to an effective B1-H curve [28], in which B1 is the fundamental component of the flux density (Fig. 4). Figs. 5 and 6 show the DC B-H curve and an effective B1-H curve and corresponding relative magnetic permeability for the mild-steel of the secondary [16].

The transverse effect or the third-dimension effect is considered by modifying the secondary conductivity by Russel-Northworthy correction factor [22, 30]:

\[ \sigma' = k_\sigma \cdot \sigma_{ij} \]  
\[ k_\sigma = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{L}{L} \right) \left( 1 + \tanh \left( \frac{\pi L}{L} \right) \tanh \left( \frac{\pi L}{L} \right) \right) \]  

where \( k_\sigma, \sigma' \) and \( \sigma_{ij} \) are Russel-Northworthy factor, pole pitch, modified and intrinsic secondary conductivity, respectively. Parameters \( c \) and \( L \) are motor design parameters, as shown in Fig. 2.

![Figure 3: One-pole pitch model of a SSLIM with a solid-iron secondary.](image)

3. Finite Element Modeling

In order to predict solid secondary SSLIM performance, FE modeling is employed as a numerical method. The governing differential equations for the 2-D analysis of the proposed structure are:

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} \]  
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \]  
\[ \mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{J} = \sigma' \mathbf{E} \]  
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \]

where, \( \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}, \mu, \sigma' \) and \( \mathbf{A} \) are magnetic field strength, current density, electric field strength, magnetic flux density, magnetic permeability, modified electrical conductivity and magnetic potential vector, respectively. Then, the resulting differential equation in the solid-iron secondary is:

\[ \nabla \times \left( \frac{\nabla \times \mathbf{A}}{\mu} \right) = -j \omega \sigma' \mathbf{A} \]

where \( \omega, s, \mu \) and \( \mathbf{A} \) are the supply angular frequency, secondary slip, magnetic permeability and \( z \)-axis component of magnetic vector potential, respectively. The end effects are neglected in the simulations due to the low-speed operation of SSLIMs and high electrical-
resistivity of solid iron of the secondary. In addition, \( \sigma' \) is employed for solid iron according to (1).

Figs. 7 and 8 show magnetic flux distributions of FEM modeling of the designed SSLIM at different frequencies. The flux lines distribution has longer tail and lower penetration depth at 40 Hz than 11 Hz due to the higher induced eddy currents and higher reaction fields of induced eddy currents in the solid iron secondary.

Figure 7: The magnetic flux distribution in the SSLIM at the \( s = 1 \) and the source frequency of 11 Hz with secondary thickness = 25.4 mm.

Figure 8: The magnetic flux distribution in the solid secondary SSLIM at the \( s = 1 \) and the source frequency of 40 Hz with secondary thickness = 25.4 mm.

4. ANALYTICAL MODELING

Fig. 9 shows the simplified model of a single-sided LIM for analytical calculations. The stator winding is replaced with a current layer, and the magnetic permeability of stator iron is considered infinite. The solid iron of the secondary with finite thickness is replaced with infinite half-space region in perpendicular direction of the secondary iron surface. Given the slotting effect, the air-gap length in Fig. 1 is modified by the Carter factor [22]. The general travelling wave equations are given in (8) to (14) for a solid-iron secondary. It is assumed that the saturation is only in the \( x \) direction and the solid iron has a linear magnetic characteristic in the \( y \) direction. The function \( B = a \cdot H^b \) is considered for the \( B-H \) curve with constants \( a \) and \( b \). The mathematical assumptions for magnetic field strength and magnetic permeability of solid iron are given by (15) to (17). Then, the solutions for the magnetic fields in the solid-iron (region 2) are presented by (18) to (20) for half space and infinite secondary thickness. Besides, their unknown parameters are then calculated using (21) to (26). The field solutions for air-gap (region 1) are given in (27) to (31). The \( C_1 \) and \( C'_1 \) constants in (30) and (31) are then respectively calculated using the boundary conditions in (33) to (35) and presented in (40) and (41).

\[
\frac{\partial H_{y,2}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H_{x,2}}{\partial y} = \sigma' \cdot E_{z,2} \tag{8}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial B_{x,2}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_{y,2}}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{9}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial E_{z,2}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial B_{x,2}}{\partial t} = 0 \tag{10}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial E_{z,2}}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial B_{y,2}}{\partial t} \tag{11}
\]

\[
B_{y,2} = \mu_y \cdot H_{y,2} \tag{12}
\]

\[
B_{x,2} = a \cdot H_{x,2}^b \tag{13}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = -j \frac{\pi}{\tau} \tag{14}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 H_{x,2}}{\partial y^2} - \left( j \omega \cdot \sigma' + \frac{1}{\mu_y} \left( \frac{\pi}{\tau} \right)^2 \right) B_{x,2} = 0 \tag{15}
\]

\[
\mu_x = \frac{H_{x,0}}{\left( 1 + \frac{y}{y_o} \right)^2} \tag{16}
\]

\[
y \leq 0 \left( \frac{\mu_{x,0}}{H_{0}^y} = \frac{B_{o}}{H_{0}^y} = a \cdot H_{0}^b - 1 \right) \tag{17}
\]

\[
H_{x,2} = \left( 1 + \frac{y}{y_o} \right)^{\alpha} \cdot H_0 \cdot e^{j(\alpha \tau \pi - \tau x)} \tag{18}
\]

\[
B_{x,2} = \left( 1 + \frac{y}{y_o} \right)^{-\alpha} \cdot B_0 \cdot e^{j(\alpha \tau \pi - \tau x)} \tag{19}
\]

\[
B_{y,2} = \frac{y_o}{\alpha - 1} \cdot j \cdot \frac{\pi}{\tau} \left( 1 + \frac{y}{y_o} \right)^{-\alpha - 1} \cdot B_0 \cdot e^{j(\alpha \tau \pi - \tau x)} \tag{20}
\]

where \( \mu_x, \mu_y, B_o, H_o, H_y, \tau, y_o, B_0 \) and \( H_0 \) are \( x \) and \( y \) component of magnetic permeability, magnetic flux density, magnetic field intensity, pole pitch, penetration depth, magnetic flux density at the surface of solid iron and magnetic field strength at the surface of solid iron, respectively. \( \alpha \) is described in (21) and the constant \( b \) can be considered zero for simplicity since it will not affect the accuracy of analysis [31].

\[
\alpha \cdot (\alpha - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{y_o^2} \cdot \frac{H_{x,2}}{H_0} = \left( j \omega \cdot \sigma' \cdot \frac{\mu_{x,0}}{\mu_y} \left( \frac{\pi}{\tau} \right)^2 \right) H_{x,2} \tag{21}
\]
The thrust force is analytically calculated using the Maxwell’s stress tensor at secondary surface by (45):

\[ F = L' \cdot \text{Re} \left( \frac{H_x \cdot B_y' \, dx}{(\alpha' - 1)^2 + \alpha'^2} \cdot B_0 \cdot H_0 \right) \]

where, \( \mu_0, K_w, J, \) and \( j \) are the magnetic permeability of vacuum, armature winding factor, current layer linear current density and its amplitude, respectively.

The parameter \( H_0 \) is obtained using (42) where the under-relaxation coefficient of 0.9 is used for iteration process of \( H_0 \) calculation, which causes faster convergence [13]:

\[ H_0 = \frac{J_m}{\cosh(\pi \cdot g) + \frac{y_o \cdot \pi \cdot \mu_{x,0} \cdot \sinh(\pi \cdot g)}{(\alpha - 1)^2 \cdot \mu_0 \cdot \sinh(\pi \cdot g)}} \]

**5. SIMULATION RESULTS**

**A. FEM simulation results**

The comparison between the finite element results of the current work and the experimental results of [16] for thrust forces are shown in Fig. 11. The correction factor for solid iron electrical conductivity is calculated by using (1) is 0.29. It is shown that the results of the calculated thrust forces using FEM coincide well with experimental results. The solid iron secondary thickness is increased from 25.4 mm to 100 mm to evaluate secondary thickness effect on thrust force (Figs. 11 and 12). The thrust forces increase with 100 mm secondary thickness in low rotor frequencies range (higher speeds), where penetration depth is higher than secondary thickness (Fig. 13).

The magnetic flux distributions in the single-sided LIM at slip 1 and the source frequencies of 11 Hz, and 40 Hz are respectively shown in Figs. 10, and 11 with secondary thickness effect on thrust force (Fig. 11). The simplified model for thrust forces are shown in Fig. 11 and the source frequencies of 11 Hz, and 40 Hz are respectively shown in Figs. 10 and 11.
where, $L'$ is effective transverse length for thrust force analysis [17].

Fig. 14 shows a comparison between the analytical calculations of the thrust forces for infinite half space assumption for secondary and FEM results, which demonstrate good correspondence with results of 100 mm secondary thickness for all speeds. The analytical results even coincide well with FEM results for 25.4 mm secondary thickness at low speeds for 40 Hz because penetration depth is smaller than secondary thickness.

![Figure 10: A comparison between the thrust forces by the finite element proposed analysis and the experimental results [16].](image1)

![Figure 11: The magnetic flux distribution in the single-sided linear induction motor at the slip $s = 1$ and the source frequency of 11 Hz with secondary thickness = 100 mm.](image2)

![Figure 12: The magnetic flux distribution in the single-sided linear induction motor at the slip $s = 1$ and the source frequency of 40 Hz with secondary thickness of 100 mm.](image3)

To take into account the secondary thickness effect on analytical calculations, the parameter, $\alpha''$ is recalculated by:

$$\alpha'' = \frac{y^2}{2} \cdot \frac{s \omega \sigma' \cdot \mu_x \theta}{(2\alpha'' - 1)}$$

(46)

$$y_0 = 1.1 \cdot 1.2 \cdot h_{ys}$$

(47)

In (47), penetration depth ($y_0$) is considered equal to 1.1 to 1.2 times of secondary thickness, $h_{ys}$ to take into account leakage flux to outside of secondary region. The calculated thrust force using (45) shows well coincidence with experimental and FEM results at all speed and frequency ranges (Fig 15).

The end effects are not considered in the FEM and the analytical calculations due to the negligible impacts on thrust forces of single-sided LIMs with solid-iron secondary.

![Figure 13: A comparison between the thrust forces by the finite element analysis with different secondary thicknesses.](image4)

![Figure 14: A comparison between the thrust forces by the analytical analysis and FEM results.](image5)

![Figure 15: A comparison between the thrust forces by the analytical analysis, FEM results and the experimental results [16] considering secondary thickness of 25.4 mm.](image6)
C. Discussion

The $y$-component of the magnetic permeability of solid iron $\mu_y$ is assumed equal to $\mu_{x,0}$ in (21) and (25) because the $x$-component of the magnetic field is stronger than the $y$-component of the magnetic field in the secondary solid iron.

The given electrical conductivity of solid iron are all at 20 $^\circ$C and the thrust forces of linear induction motors could be different at higher temperatures.

Figs. 13 and 14 show influential effect of secondary thickness on the produced thrust force. For example, at slip equal 0.125 for 40 Hz, the thrust force almost becomes double for 100 mm secondary thickness in comparison with 25.4 mm secondary thickness. Using (26), penetration depth, $y_0$ at slip equal 0.125 is calculated equal to 42 mm. Increasing secondary thickness could improves performance of SSLIM with solid iron secondary. The secondary thickness is decreased to half, 12.7 mm to evaluate smaller thickness could improve performance of SSLIM with solid iron secondary. The secondary thickness is decreased to half, 12.7 mm to evaluate smaller thickness could improve performance of SSLIM with solid iron secondary. The secondary thickness is decreased to half, 12.7 mm to evaluate smaller thickness.

Figure 18: A comparison between the thrust forces by the analytical analysis, FEM results and the experimental results considering secondary thickness $= 12.7$ mm.

The analytical method could be used for traveling wave eddy currents and with consideration of air-gaps like in induction machines. The presented analytical method is simple and fast, and it could also be used for loss analysis in solid iron and steels for solid rotor synchronous machines with finite thickness. The simulation results of the proposed methods show acceptable accuracy with experimental ones. Due to acceptable accuracy and fast response of the presented analytical method, it could be employed as an effective tool in design and optimization of large solid iron secondary SSLIM employed in transportation systems. The presented analytical method could be used to calculate eddy currents losses in the solid iron rotor of asynchronous machines as well.

6. Conclusion

SSLIM is one of the candidates for propulsion part of this system. In this paper, a 2D FEM analysis of a solid iron secondary SSLIM is presented based on time harmonic method with taking into account effective B-H curve. In addition, a new 2D analytical method of the proposed SSLIM is presented considering solid iron saturation and the skin effects for eddy current analysis.
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