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#### Abstract

Background and Objectives: Switches play an important role in controlling the signal flow in telecommunication systems. The traveling wave switch structure is introduced based on active transmission lines. By applying the gate voltage ( Vg ), the transfer of signal through the drain transmission line is controlled. By increasing operating frequency, lumped model is unreliable and semi and fully distributed modeling should be applied for the analysis of these elements. Methods: Traveling wave switches can be analyzed based on the lossy transmission line model, in linear and non-linear modes in the time and frequency domains. The study of transient behavior and time domain response of switch is very important. Switching and transient from on to off state and vice versa affect the performance of telecommunication systems. In the proposed method, the switch is modeled as the lossy transmission line that the primary elements of this model change with time based on the control voltage applied to the gate and are considered as variable with time. The structure is discretized in the space and time domains with $\Delta z$ and $\Delta t$ steps. The Finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method is utilized to study the transient response of switches. By using the leap-frog algorithm, the new voltages and currents of the transmission line are calculated based on the values of adjacent spatial and temporal steps. Results: The transient response of the switch is analyzed in transition from off to on states and vice versa, for the $800 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ switch at 60 GHz , based on the parameters of the passive transmission line and nonlinear Curtice2 FET model. Conclusion: For transient analysis of the structure, the time-variant lossy transmission line model was used that its elements changed based on the applied control voltage. The results of FDTD method were investigated with the transient analysis of commercial software that showed good agreement with each other and hence validated the proposed method.


## Introduction

Nowadays, the request for millimeter-wave applications such as high-speed wireless LAN systems, radar systems is increasing quickly and also there is an enormous demand for microwave circuits to operate at higher and
higher frequencies to attain larger bandwidth. On the other hand, there has been an enlarged request for microwave integrated circuit application at higher frequencies caused by low fabrication cost and better operation at higher frequencies. Switches play an
important role in controlling the RF signal flow in transmitters and receivers of telecommunication and radar systems. In the mentioned applications, wideband switches with high power transfer capability and high switching speed, have an important duty in the Implementation of low-cost communication systems. Due to the wide range of applications of millimeter wave circuits in high-speed communications and the important role of distributed switches in communication systems, much research has been done on various microwave switches to improve their performance. In this regard, some research has been done to improve parameters such as insertion loss and isolation. In millimeter-wave applications, various switch structures are reported in the literature [1]-[20].

The performance of a single-pole single-throw traveling-wave switch is analyzed with a lossy transmission line model in [1]. In [2], a single-pole double-throw traveling-wave switch using fully distributed FET has been developed and analyzed. [3] represents a switch structure that has a shunt structure in connection with a quarter wavelength transformer. The switches designed with this structure have an insertion loss less than 1.5 dB and isolation better than 25 dB in the frequency range from 59 GHz to 61 GHz . Another structure consists of a series FET in parallel with an inductor. Such a switch has an insertion loss of 1.6 dB and an isolation of 20 dB at 94 GHz [4]. In [5], another microwave switch with a parallel LC resonance structure, a capacitive stub and an inductor line, is indicated. A switch manufactured in this structure has an insertion loss of 3.9 dB and an isolation of 41 dB at 60 GHz . In [6], the series-shunt structures using the ohmic electrode sharing technology (OEST) have been introduced and discussed. Such a switch has an isolation of more than 20.6 dB and an insertion loss of less than 1.64 dB . In [7], a fast and flexible impedance matching technique is presented for MMIC switches based on the coupling matrix method by the field-effect-transistor-based (FETbased) resonator. By selecting appropriate coupling parameters, the MMIC switches can be easily designed without designing multistage impedance matching. [8] described the design of millimeter-wave wide-band monolithic GaAs passive high electron-mobility transistor (HEMT) switches using the traveling-wave concept. This type of switch combined the off-state shunt transistors and series microstrip lines to form an artificial transmission line with $50 \Omega$ characteristic impedance. In [9] and [10], By using the fully distributed model and adjusting the switch length and operating frequency, various switch parameters such as insertion loss, isolation, and return loss are calculated. The optimum switch length and operating frequency are calculated versus the switch parameters especially the isolation and
the return loss in off and on conditions.
In [11], the design, analysis, and performance of four millimeter-wave SPDT switch MMICs are presented. The circuits utilize a 100-nm gate-length GaN-on-SiC technology and cover four different frequency ranges almost without a gap from 28 to 310 GHz . In [12], a single-pole single-throw switch monolithic microwave integrated circuit using $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ GaN HEMT technology is presented for Ka-band downlink frequencies $17-22 \mathrm{GHz}$. In [13], a structure of SPST switches was proposed to improve the return loss in off-state by applying quarterwave transformers. The common SPST switches behave as a short or open circuit in off-state and reflect a high ratio of the signal. The semi-distributed and fully distributed analysis of the common and improved structure of SPST switches were shown improvement of matching in off state. In [14], a 36-38-GHz monolithic microwave integrated circuit (MMIC) single-pole doublethrow (SPDT) switch employing a traveling-wave concept with absorptive characteristic is presented. The switch uses absorptive sections for better impedance matching in all ports.

In [15], A three-stage single pole single throw InGaAs pseudomorphic high electron mobility transistor monolithic microwave integrated circuit switch based on the HPF/LPF switching concept is introduced, with an insertion loss of less than 1.6 dB and isolation of more than 82 dB below 6 GHz , with a size of $1.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$. In [16], to improve the return loss in the off state, a nonuniform structure of SPST switches is proposed which is based on the artificial tapered transmission line produced by applying various controlling voltage at the gate. In [17], high isolation K/Ka band monolithic microwave integrated circuit (MMIC) single pole double throw (SPDT) switches with shunt and series-shunt topologies were presented, by using a 70 nm GaAs HEMT process. In $24 \sim 27 \mathrm{GHz}$, both switches demonstrated an isolation better than 39dB. The shunt type of switch demonstrated insertion loss less than 1.5 dB and the series-shunt type of switch less than 2dB. [18] presented a low-loss and high Tx-to-Rx isolation single-pole doublethrow (SPDT) millimeter-wave switch for true time delay applications. The switch was designed based on matching-network and double-shunt transistors with quarter-wavelength transmission lines. In [19], a low loss high isolation broadband single-port double-throw (SPDT) traveling-wave switch using 90 nm CMOS technology was presented. A body bias technique was utilized to enhance the circuit performance of the switch, especially for the operation frequency above 30 GHz. [20] presented a wideband digitally tunable SPST switch based on the travelling-wave concept that had been realized in a 22 nm FD-SOI CMOS technology. The digital control for return loss was performed through
mutual inductance switching. The proposed SPST switch achieves a bandwidth of $10-110 \mathrm{GHz}$, with an insertion loss of 1.2 dB at 60 GHz and a 24 dB isolation at 60 GHz .

Analysis of traveling-wave switches is done in the frequency domain, by considering the lossy transmission line model and scattering parameters. The use of ABCD transmission matrices and their conversion into scattering parameters is another common method in the frequency domain in non-uniform structures. Analysis of active transmission lines in the time domain is reported in linear and nonlinear regimes and the use of timedomain methods to analyze the transient behavior of the switch as an active transmission line is studied [21]-[25].

In this paper, the performance of traveling wave switches is investigated using the lossy transmission line model. For this purpose, the switch behavior is analyzed by using the finite difference time domain (FDTD) method and the structure is discretized in the space and time domains with $\Delta z$ and $\Delta t$ steps [25]. In this analysis, the switch is modeled as the lossy transmission line that the primary elements of this model change with time based on the control voltage applied to the gate and are considered as variable with time. With the help of this proposed method, instead of nonlinear analysis of the circuit, linear time-varying analysis can be used. The results obtained from this method are in good agreement with those of nonlinear analysis using commercial software. The switch performance is modelled well in the time domain in on, off and transient states.

## Circuit Structure

The schematic of a Single-Pole Single-Throw (SPST) Traveling-Wave Switch (TWSW) is shown in Fig. 1 [1]. By applying the gate voltage $\left(V_{g}\right)$, the transfer of signal through the drain transmission line is controlled. In this structure, the length of the switch is comparable to the wavelength of the maximum frequency of the circuit, so the wave transmission in this structure cannot be ignored and the lump model is unreliable and cannot analyze a distributed switch. Instead, distributed modeling should be applied for the analysis of these elements [2].


Fig. 1: Schematic of SPST traveling-wave switch [1].

In semi-distributed modeling, the device is sliced into N segments, that lumped modeling is reliable for each section.

If the number of slices approaches infinity, then the semi-distributed analysis turns to fully-distributed modeling. The sliced model of TWSW is shown in Fig. 2 [9].
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Port 2


Fig. 2: Equivalent slice model of switch [9].

Fig. 3 shows the equivalent circuit seen from drain of transistor with $\Delta z$ length. The values of nonlinear elements are obtained based on the Curtice model and with respect to the $V_{g s}$ and $V_{d s}$ voltages [21].


Fig. 3: The nonlinear equivalent circuit of a transistor with $\Delta z-$ length.

Due to the high input impedance of the gate and the low frequency of the control signal, the gate voltage can be considered equal to the control voltage with a good approximation. Also, assuming the amplitude of the input signal is small, the changes of $C_{g d}$ and $C_{g s}$ with $V_{d s}$ are ignored and the nonlinear current source is modeled as $G_{d s}$ conduction according to (1) and these values will be only a function of the control voltage. By considering the time variations of the control voltage $V_{g}(t)$, linear time-variant equivalent circuit of the transistor is obtained as shown in Fig. 4. The parameters $C_{g s}, C_{g d}$, $C_{d s}$ and $G_{d s}$ are defined per unit length of the transistor [10].
$\left.G_{d s}(t)=\frac{\partial I_{d s}}{\partial V_{d s}} \right\rvert\, V_{g s}=V_{g}(t)$


Fig. 4: The linear time-variant equivalent circuit of a transistor with $\Delta z$-length.

Using (2), the equivalent circuit seen from the drain of the transistor with length $\Delta z$ can be considered as the summation of conductivity $G_{F E T}(t) \Delta z$, capacitor $C_{F E T}(t) \Delta z$ and current source $I_{d}(t) \Delta z$, which models the changes of control-voltage.
$I_{T}=C_{d s} \Delta z \frac{\partial V_{T}}{\partial t}+G_{d s}(t) \Delta z V_{T}+C_{g d}(t) \Delta z \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(V_{T}-V_{g}(t)\right)$
$=\left(C_{d s}+C_{g d}(t)\right) \Delta z \frac{\partial V_{T}}{\partial t}+G_{d s}(t) \Delta z V_{T}-C_{g d}(t) \Delta z \frac{\partial V_{g}(t)}{\partial t}$
$=C_{F E T}(t) \Delta z \frac{\partial V_{T}}{\partial t}+G_{F E T}(t) \Delta z V_{T}+I_{d}(t) \Delta z$

## Lossy Transmission Line Model

The lossy transmission line model of the switch is shown in Fig. 5. In this model, the elements C ( t$)$, $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t})$ and Id ( t ) of the transmission line change with time according to the control voltage applied to the gate of the transistor.

According to (3), $C(t)$ is obtained from the summation of the $C_{T L}$ transmission line capacitor and the $C_{F E T}(t)$ transistor capacitor [13].

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(t)=C_{T L}+C_{F E T}(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 5: Lossy transmission line model of TWSW.
The transmission line element with length $\Delta z$ is shown in Fig. 6, using Kirchhoff's laws, the voltage and current relations for the differential element of the transmission line can be easily obtained. By tending $\Delta z$ to zero and converting it to $d z$, the differential equations of the transmission line are obtained according to (4) and (5).


Fig. 6: Differential element of transmission line with length $\Delta z$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d I(z, t)}{d z}+G(t) V(z, t)+C(t) \frac{d V(z, t)}{d t}+I_{d}(t)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{d V(z, t)}{d z}+R_{T L} I(z, t)+L_{T L} \frac{d I(z, t)}{d t}=0$

## Analysis of Transmission Line Model by FDTD Method

The finite difference method is one of the common numerical methods in solving partial equations. This method is based on domain discretization to a set of nodes, approximation of differential equations with algebraic equations and solving algebraic equations based on the boundary and initial conditions. Using Taylor series is one of the methods of approximation of differential equations with algebraic equations.

In this method, the location axis is divided into $\Delta z$ steps and the time axis is divided into $\Delta t$ cells, which means that the z -axis is divided into $N z$ equal parts and the time axis is divided into $N t$ parts. Fig. 7 shows the discretization at the location axis and the voltage and current nodes for the transmission line model.


Fig. 7: Discretization of the transmission line model.
The method of voltage and current discretization is specified in (6) and (7). According to the method of discretization, $N z+1$ points of voltage and $N z$ points of current are located in z-direction. Fig. 8 shows the relationship between voltage and current at different points in two dimensions of time and space in the form of a graph for different time and space steps. In this method, the new value of the voltage at each point is calculated based on the amount of currents connected
to that node and the previous value of the voltage of that node.

The current is also updated based on the voltage of the adjacent nodes and the previous value of the current.
$\mathrm{V}_{i}^{n} \equiv \mathrm{~V}((i-1) \Delta z, n \Delta t)$
$\mathrm{I}_{i}^{n} \equiv \mathrm{I}((i-1 / 2) \Delta z, n \Delta t)$
Using the backward difference approximation and Fig. 8 , the differential equations of relations (4) and (5) become the algebraic form of relations (8) and (9) [25].
$\frac{\mathrm{I}_{k}^{n+1 / 2}-\mathrm{I}_{k-1}^{n+1 / 2}}{\Delta z}+\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{n}+1 / 2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~V}_{k}^{n+1}+\mathrm{V}_{k}^{n}}{2}\right)$
$+\frac{\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}+1 / 2}}{\Delta t}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{k}^{n+1}-\mathrm{V}_{k}^{n}\right)+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}+1 / 2}=0 \begin{aligned} & k=2,3, \ldots, N_{z} \\ & n=0,1, \ldots, N_{t}\end{aligned}$
$\frac{\mathrm{V}_{k+1}^{n+1}-\mathrm{V}_{k}^{n+1}}{\Delta z}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{TL}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}_{k}^{n+3 / 2}+\mathrm{I}_{k}^{n+1 / 2}}{2}\right)$
$+\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{TL}}}{\Delta t}\left(\mathrm{I}_{k}^{n+3 / 2}-\mathrm{I}_{k}^{n+1 / 2}\right)=0 \quad \begin{aligned} & k=1,2, \ldots, N_{z} \\ & n=0,1, \ldots, N_{t}\end{aligned}$


Fig. 8: The FDTD discretization of the voltage, current along the transmission line [25].

By arranging the (8) and (9), the expression of $V_{k}^{n+1}$ and $I_{k}^{n+3 / 2}$ can be extracted and (10) and (11) are obtained.
$\left(\frac{\mathrm{C}^{n+1 / 2}}{\Delta t}+\frac{\mathrm{G}^{n+1 / 2}}{2}\right) \mathrm{V}_{k}^{n+1}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{C}^{n+1 / 2}}{\Delta t}-\frac{\mathrm{G}^{n+1 / 2}}{2}\right) \mathrm{V}_{k}^{n}$
$+\frac{\mathrm{I}_{k-1}^{n+1 / 2}-\mathrm{I}_{k}^{n+1 / 2}}{\Delta z}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{n+1 / 2}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
k=2,3, \ldots, N_{z}  \tag{10}\\
n=0,1, \ldots, N_{t}
\end{gather*}
$$

considered to be $\Delta z / 2$ instead of $\Delta z$. The same operation is repeated for the boundary condition of the load terminal. The relations (16) and (17) are transformed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V}_{1}^{n+1} & =\mathrm{ABV}_{1}^{n}+\frac{2 \mathrm{~A}}{\Delta z}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\circ}^{n+1 / 2}-\mathrm{I}_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\frac{\Delta z}{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{n}+1 / 2}\right)  \tag{18}\\
n & =0,1, \ldots, N_{t} \\
\mathrm{~V}_{N_{z}+1}^{n+1} & =\mathrm{ABV}_{N_{z}+1}^{n}+\frac{2 \mathrm{~A}}{\Delta z}\left(\mathrm{I}_{N_{z}}^{n+1 / 2}-\mathrm{I}_{N_{z}+1}^{n+1 / 2}-\frac{\Delta z}{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{n}+1 / 2}\right)  \tag{19}\\
n & =0,1, \ldots, N_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Fig. 9 and the series resistor at the beginning and end of the line, the current relationships can be achieved simply, by applying the KCL equations in these two nodes that are expressed in (20) and (21) [25].


Fig. 9: Source and load currents at input and output nodes [25].
$\mathrm{I}^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{i n}^{n}+\mathrm{V}_{i n}^{n+1}-\mathrm{V}_{1}^{n}-\mathrm{V}_{1}^{n+1}}{2 \mathrm{R}_{S}}$
$\mathrm{I}_{N_{Z}+1}^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{N_{Z}+1}^{n}+\mathrm{V}_{N_{Z}+1}^{n+1}}{2 \mathrm{R}_{L}}$

Finally, the obtained equations are arranged and the set of recursive equations is obtained, which express the voltage and current at different points of the line and at different times. These equations are expressed in (22) to (25).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{1}^{n+1}=\left(\mathrm{A} \mathrm{~B}-\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\Delta z \mathrm{R}_{S}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\Delta z \mathrm{R}_{S}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}^{n}+\frac{2 \mathrm{~A}}{\Delta z} \times \\
& \left(1+\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\Delta z \mathrm{R}_{S}}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~V}_{i n}^{n+1}+\mathrm{V}_{i n}^{n}}{2 \mathrm{R}_{s}}-\mathrm{I}_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\frac{\Delta z}{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{n}+1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{k}^{n+1}=\mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{~V}_{k}^{n}+\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\Delta z}\left(\mathrm{I}_{k-1}^{n+1 / 2}-\mathrm{I}_{k}^{n+1 / 2}-\Delta z \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{n+1 / 2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
k=2,3, \ldots, N_{z}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{N_{\mathbf{z}}+1}^{n+1}=\left(\mathrm{AB}-\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\Delta z \mathrm{R}_{L}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\Delta z \mathrm{R}_{L}}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~V}_{N_{\mathbf{z}+1}}^{n}+ \\
& \frac{2 \mathrm{~A}}{\Delta z}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\Delta z \mathrm{R}_{L}}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{I}_{N_{\mathbf{z}}}^{n+1 / 2}-\frac{\Delta z}{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{n}+1 / 2}\right)  \tag{24}\\
& \mathrm{I}_{k}^{n+3 / 2}=\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{FI}_{k}^{n+1 / 2}+\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\Delta z}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{k}^{n+1}-\mathrm{V}_{k+1}^{n+1}\right)  \tag{25}\\
& \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N_{z}
\end{align*}
$$

First, the voltage of the first node is obtained by (22), the initial voltages and currents of the transmission line are assumed zero. In the next step, for $k=2,3, \ldots, N z$ and by (23), the voltages of the other nodes are obtained according to the voltages and currents in the previous step. The voltage of the last node is calculated using (24) for $k=N z+1$ and the values of voltage and current in the previous time step. After calculating the voltages in the new time step, the currents of the nodes in the new time step are calculated using (25) by the voltages and currents of the nodes in the previous step. This process will continue until reach the last time step. This algorithm is known as the leap-frog algorithm. This flowchart is shown in Fig. 10.


Fig. 10: Flowchart of the leap-frog algorithm for solving transmission line equations.

The structure of a traveling-wave switch similar to a FET transistor consists of active and passive parts. The passive part represents the attenuation and coupling of transmission lines and its elements are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Numerical values of the passive transmission line model

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $L_{T L}$ | $400 \mathrm{nH} / \mathrm{m}$. |
| $R_{T L}$ | $900 \mathrm{ohm} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| $C_{T L}$ | $70 \mathrm{pF} / \mathrm{m}$ |

The Curtice 2 model is used to analyze the active part of the switch. In this model, the nonlinear current source $\left(I_{d s}\right)$ is defined according to voltages of the transistor (26), knowing that if the $V_{g s}$ is smaller than $V_{T 0}$ then the current source is zero. In this equation, $\alpha, \beta$ and $\lambda$ are fixed parameters of the model and $V_{T 0}$ is the pinch-off voltage of the transistor. The term $\left(V_{g s}-V_{T 0}\right)^{2}$ illustrates $I_{d s}$ with respect to $V_{g s}$ as a quadratic polynomial and this is why this model is named Curtice2. The nonlinear gate-source and gate-drain capacitances are described in the Curtice2 as (27), (28). $C_{g s 0}$ and $C_{g d 0}$ are the zero-bias gate and drain capacitances, respectively, and $V_{b i}$ the built-in gate potential. The elements of this section are obtained by scaling from the parameters of the $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ transistor model that are shown in Table 2.
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ds}}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gs}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ds}}\right)=\beta\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{gs}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T} 0}\right)^{2}\left(1+\lambda \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ds}}\right) \tanh \left(\alpha \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ds}}\right)($
$C_{g s}=C_{g s 0} \times\left(1-\frac{V_{g s}}{V_{b i}}\right)^{-1 / 2}$
$C_{g d}=C_{g d 0} \times\left(1-\frac{V_{g d}}{V_{b i}}\right)^{-1 / 2}$
Table 2: Curtice2 model's parameters for $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ FET

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | $0.0162 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{V}^{2}$ |
| $\alpha$ | $1.3 \mathrm{~V}^{-1}$ |
| $\lambda$ | $0 \mathrm{~V}^{-1}$ |
| $V_{T 0}$ | -2.35 V |
| $C_{g s 0}$ | 12 fF |
| $C_{g d 0}$ | 5.915 fF |
| $C_{d s}$ | 17.745 fF |
| $V_{b i}$ | 0.85 V |

The study of transient behavior and time domain response of switch is very important. Switching and
transient from on to off state and vice versa affect the performance of telecommunication systems. In this section, the analysis results of the $800 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ switch with the time-varying transmission line model in the time domain are presented and compared with the results of the nonlinear analysis of commercial software.

According to the initial parameters of the switch and considering the maximum frequency of 500 GHz , the division into 80 parts is sufficient for the $800 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ structure and the assumption of the lumped element is valid for $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ segments. To study the performance of the switch, a 10 mV signal with 60 GHz frequency has been applied to the drain transmission line. A pulseshaped control signal with a period of 20 nsec and rise and fall time of 1 nsec has been used to on and off the switch as well. The control voltage has the low and high levels of -5 V and 0 V respectively, that the transistor was on at low voltage and was in the cut-off mode at high voltage. The variation of control voltage from low to high level and vice versa has the cosine form. By applying the RF signal and the control voltage Vg with the mentioned parameters, the switch behavior in the transition mode from on to off state and vice versa is shown in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12. In these figures, the performance of the switch is analyzed by the linear timevarying model method and the nonlinear method, which have good agreement. In the linear time-varying method, as mentioned, the transmission line parameters change with time. These results are calculated with Matlab by applying the FDTD method to the $800 \mu m$ switch that is divided into 80 segments. In the nonlinear method, quasi-distributed analysis of the switch was performed by commercial software. In Fig. 11, which shows the behavior of the switch in the transition from off to on state, by passing the control voltage through the threshold voltage $V_{T 0}$, the performance of the switch changes and the RF signal is transmitted by the switch. Due to the small amplitude of the information signal, in the transition mode due to changes in the control voltage, which is modeled as the current source, a downward jump is observed in the output of the switch.


Fig. 11: Transient of $800 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ TWSW from off to on mode.


Fig. 12: Transient of $800 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ TWSW from on to off mode.

Fig. 12 shows the operation of the switch in the transition from on to off state. Same as the previous figure, when the control voltage passes through the threshold voltage $V_{T 0}$, the status of the switch changes and the passage of the information signal by the switch stops. In this case, the output signal jumps upwards.

This jump can be considered as a zero input response that is added to the input response. In this case, if the input range is large, this jump is small compared to it and is not sensed at the output. If the amplitude of the input signal is small, the jumps have an amplitude several times the information signal at the output, which may damage or saturate the next blocks. Therefore, in smallsignal applications, the amplitude of these jumps should be reduced, for example, by reducing the difference in the level of the control signal or increasing its rise time and fall time, the temporal changes of the control signal were slower and fewer jumps are felt at the output.

## Conclusion

The switch has a major role in the realization of communication systems and analysis of their performance is very important. Traveling-wave switches can be analyzed based on the lossy transmission line model, in linear and non-linear modes in the time and frequency domains. Comparison between the analysis methods is illustrated in Table 3. Time domain and transient analysis of the switch were studied to investigate its behavior during the transition from on to off state and vice versa and the possibility of an overshoot in the output signal that may cause damage to other parts of the system that necessitates transient analysis. For transient analysis of the structure, the timevariant lossy transmission line model was used that its elements were changed based on the applied control voltage. The results of the FDTD method were investigated with the transient analysis of a commercial software that showed good agreement with each other and hence validated the proposed method.

Table 3: Comparison between the analysis methods

| Ref. | Details (Methods/linear or nonlinear/ <br> frequency or time domains) |
| :---: | :--- |
| $[1],[2]$ | S-parameters from lossy transmission line <br> model, linear, frequency domain analysis. |
| $[7]$ | Analytical design of switches using FET- <br> based resonators and coupling matrix <br> method, S-parameters frequency domain. |
| $[8],[12],[13]$, | S-parameters by using the ABCD matrix, |
| [14], [19], [20] | linear, frequency domain analysis. |
| $[9],[10]$ | Semi and fully-distributed analysis, linear <br> S-parameters frequency domain. |
| $[15]$ | MMIC SPST switch based on HPF/LPF <br> switching concept, linear S-parameters <br> frequency domain. |
| $[22],[23]$ | FDTD Analysis of Small Signal Model for <br> Active Multi-conductor Transmission Line <br> Line (AMTL), time domain. |
| [25] | Nonlinear and fully distributed modelling <br> of Nonlinear Active Multi-conductor |
| Transmission Line (NAMTL) using time- |  |
| domain. method |  |
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## Abbreviations

| AMTL | Active Multiconductor Transmission <br> Line |
| :--- | :--- |
| FDTD | Finite-difference time-domain |
| NAMTL | Nonlinear Active Multiconductor <br> Transmission Line |
| SPDT | Single Pole Double Throw |
| SPST | Single Pole Single Throw |

## TL

 Transmission line
## TWSW

## Traveling wave switch
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